Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/773,500	CURRY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benjamin P. Lee	3641
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Benjamin P. Lee</u> .	(3)	
(2) Nicholas J. Ticcillo.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 September 2007	Time: <u>10:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 23 and 30 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ing Cianatura if annuariet
(A	ррисан/Аррисан в кергезена	ive этвлание – и арргорггате)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/773,500

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to advise of typographical error in claim 23 (dependant on canceled claim 22) and to suggest claim language for claim 30 to to better clarify the scope of the claim. Applicant authorized an Examiner's Amendment to make the changes..